



Road Safety Country Overview

celand

 Name
 Name

raffic Laws And Regulations Age Attitudes and raining Country Characteristics Research Public Campaigns Attitudes and raining Country Characteristics Research of Functions and Culture Road Safety Outcomes Transport Mode Protective Systems Lighting And Weather Conditions Add Training Country Characteristics Research Protective Systems Lighting And Weather Conditions Add Safety Outcomes Conditions Add User Education And Training Country Characteristics Conditions Add Safety Outcomes Conditions Add User Education And Training Country Characteristics Conditions Add Safety Outcomes Conditions Add User Education And Training Country Characteristics Conditions Add User Education Speed



Structure and Culture

Basic Data

Table 1: Basic data of Iceland in relation to the EU average

Basic data of Iceland	EU average
- Population: 0,33 million inhabitants (2016)[2]	18,2 million (2016)
- Area: 103.000 km ² (2015)[2]	159.678 km ² (2015)
(2,67% water) (2015)[4]	2,94% water (2015)
- Climate and weather conditions (capital city; 2015) [3]:	(2015)
 Average winter temperature (Nov. to April): 0,7°C 	5,1°C
 Average summer temperature (May to Oct.): 8°C 	16,6°C
- Annual precipitation level: 798,2 mm	691,5 mm
- Exposure: 3.693 million vehicle km (2015) [1]	168.260 million vehicle km (2015)
- 0,91 vehicles per person (2015) [1]	0,57 (2015)

Sources: [1] IRTAD; [2] EUROSTAT; [3] national sources; [4] CIA

Country characteristics

Table 2: Characteristics of Iceland in comparison to the EU average Characteristics of Iceland Ell av

Characteristics of Iceland	EU average
- Population density: 3.3 inhabitants/km ² (2015)	114 inhabitants/km ²
[2]	(2015)
- Population composition (2015) [2]	
20,4% children (0-14 years)	15,6% children
66,1% adults (15-64 years)	65,6% adults
13,5% elderly (65 years and over)	18,9% elderly (2015)
- Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita:	
€45.500 (2015) [2]	€27.198 (2015)
- 94,3% of population lives inside urban area	72,6% (2015)
(2015)[4]	72,0% (2015)
- Special characteristics[4]: mostly plateau	
interspersed with mountain peaks, icefields;	
coast deeply indented by bays and fiords	

coast deeply indented by bays and fiords Sources: [1] IRTAD; [2] EUROSTAT; [3] national sources ; [4] CIA

Iceland is characterised by a low population density and a cold and wet climate.



Structure of road safety management

The Icelandic Road and Coastal Administration (IRCA) is responsible for about 13.000 km of main roads and country side roads in Iceland. This includes planning, design, construction, maintenance and service of those roads.

The following key actors are responsible for Road Safety (RS) policy making:

Table 3: Key actors per function in Iceland

Key functions	Key actors
 Formulation of national RS strategy Setting targets Development of the RS programme 	- Ministry of Interior - The Icelandic Road and Coastal Administration - Icelandic Transport Authority -The Icelandic Transportation Safety Board
 Monitoring of the RS development in the country 	- The Icelandic Road and Coastal Administration - Icelandic Transport Authority
3. Improvements in road infrastructure	- The Icelandic Road and Coastal Administration
4. Vehicle improvement	- Icelandic Transport Authority
5. Improvement in road user education	- Icelandic Transport Authority - Local authorities
6. Publicity campaigns	- The Icelandic Road and Coastal Administration - Icelandic Transport Authority
7. Enforcement of road traffic laws	 The Police Districts in Iceland The national Commissioner of the Icelandic Police
8. Other relevant actors	- safetravel.is - Icelandic Automobile Association - TMS Consultancy
Sources: national sources	

Attitudes towards risk taking

As Iceland is not part of the ESRA survey, there is no information on attitudes that is comparable to other European countries.

The Icelandic Road and Coastal Administration is responsible for about 13.000 km of main roads and country side roads in Iceland.



Iceland aims to lower the number of killed and seriously injured by 5% each year and be among the best performing countries of killed per capita.

Programmes and measures

National strategic plans and targets

- In 2011, the Icelandic Parliament agreed upon a new Traffic Safety Plan for the period 2011-2022.

- Targets:

Table 4: Road safety targets for Iceland

Table 4: Road safety targets for Iceland				
Year	Fatalities and seriously injured	Average driving speed	Measures	
2022	46% (referred to the average of 2006-2010) -5% per year 0		 The number of fatalities per 100.000 population must not exceed the rate of countries with outstanding traffic safety records Total fatalities and serious injuries Killed and seriously injured children Killed due to lack of seat-belt wearing 	
Every year	-5%	- Killed due to lack of seat- - Accidents due to influence - Accidents involving 17-20 - Killed and seriously injure motorcycle riders - Injured vulnerable road u		

Sources: IRTAD, 2017; national sources

- Priority topics:
 - Children
- Adolescents
- Drink-driving
- Driving speed
- Seat-belt wearing
- Tailgating
- Vulnerable road users
- Motorcyclists
- Foreign road users
- Run-of road accidents
- Side-impact accidents

Road infrastructure

Table 5: Description of the road categories and their characteristics inIceland

Road type	General speed limits (km/h)		
Urban roads	50		
Rural roads	80/90		
Motorways	n/a		
Source: IRTAD, 2016			



In Iceland, roads are improved by safety impact assessment, high risk site treatment, road safety inspections and audits.

Allowed BAC limits for novice and professional drivers are higher than those of most EU countries.

Road Safety Country Overview - ICELAND

- Special rules for:
- HGVs and cars pulling trailers: 80 km/h.
- gravel roads: 80 km/h
- Guidelines and strategic plans for infrastructure are available in Iceland.

Table 6: Obligatory parts of infrastructure management in Iceland and other EU countries

Obligatory parts in Iceland:	EU countries with obligation		
Safety impact assessment: yes	32%		
Road safety audits: yes	81%		
Road safety inspections: yes	89%		
High risk site treatment: yes	74%		
Sources: national sources			

- Recent activities of road infrastructure improvement have been addressing:
 - elimination of high risk sites
 - separation of driving directions
 - increased use of rumble strips
 - improved winter services (as many crashes occur on icy roads)

(Source: IRTAD, 2017)

Traffic laws and regulations

Table 7: Description of the regulations in Iceland in relation to the mostcommon regulations in other EU countries

Regulations in Iceland [1]	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Allowed BAC ¹ levels:	
- General population: 0,5‰ - Novice drivers: 0,5‰ - Professional drivers: 0,5‰	0,5‰ (61%) 0,2‰ (39%) and 0,0‰ (36%) 0,2‰ (36%) and 0,0‰ (36%)
Phoning:	
- Hand held: not allowed - Hands free: allowed	Not allowed (all countries) Allowed (all countries)
Use of restraint systems:	
- Driver: obligatory - Front passenger: obligatory - Rear passengers: obligatory - Children: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries) Obligatory (all countries) Obligatory (all countries) Obligatory (all countries)
Helmet wearing:	
 Motor riders: Obligatory Moped riders: Obligatory Cyclists: obligatory up to 14 years old Daytime running lights are mandatory. A demerit point system is in place [2] 	Obligatory (all countries) Obligatory (all countries) Not obligatory (46%)
Sources: [1] EC DG-Move, 2016; [2] WHO, 2013	

¹ Blood Alcohol Concentration





Effectiveness of speed, drinkdriving and seat-belt law enforcement is below the level of most EU countries.

Road safety education is compulsory at all school levels in Iceland.

Enforcement

 Table 8: Effectiveness of enforcement effort in Iceland according to an international respondent consensus (scale = 0-10)

Issue	Score for Iceland	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Speed legislation enforcement	6	7 (43%)
Seat-belt law enforcement	6	7 (25%) and 8 (25%)
Child restraint law enforcement	9	8 (39%)
Helmet legislation enforcement	9	9 (50%)
Drink-driving law enforcement Source: WHO, 2015	5	8 (43%)

Road User Education and Training

Table 9: Road user education and training in Iceland compared to the situation in other EU countries

Education and training in lo	eland	Most common in EU (% of countries)
General education programme	S:	
 Primary school: compulsory Secondary school: compulsor 	v	Compulsory (71%) Compulsory (43%)
- Other groups: kindergarten p	·	-
Driving licences thresholds:		
 Passenger car: 17 years Motorised two wheeler: 15 years for A1 category; 19 category; 24 years for A category; 24 years for A category; 23 years for >1 Lorries and trucks: 18 years for > 7,5t) years for A2 egory for <=16 6 passengers	18 years (82%) 16 years for low categories (68%) and 18 years for higher categories (64%) 21 years (89%) 21 years (71%)

Sources: [1] ROSE25, 2005; [2] national sources; [3] EC website

Public Campaigns

Table 10: Public campaigns in Iceland compared to the situation in other EU countries

Campaigns in Iceland	Most common issues in EU (% of countries)
Organisation:	
- The Road Traffic Directorate - Municipalities - Insurance companies	
Main themes:	
 speeding drink-driving seat-belts mobile phones reduction of foreign drivers crashes the Traffic Safety School called the Grunda school project Sources: [1] SUPREME, 2005; [2] ETSC, 2011; [3] national source 	Drink-driving (96%) Speeding (86%) Seat-belt (79%)
Sources: [1] SOPREME, 2005; [2] ETSC, 2011; [5] halional sources	



Mandatory vehicle inspection periods differentiate by vehicle type in Iceland.

Vehicles and technology (national developments)

Table 11: Developments of vehicles and technology in Iceland, compared tothe situation in other EU countries

Mandatory technical inspections:	Most common in EU (% of countries)	
Passenger cars: 4-2-2-1-1 etc. years	Every 12 months (39%)	
Motorcycles: 4-2-2-1-1 etc. years	Every 24 months (32%)	
Buses or coaches: every 12 months	Every 12 months (61%)	
Lorries or trucks: every 12 months	Every 12 months (68%)	
Sources: EC website, national sources		





No information is available on speed in Iceland.

Road Safety Performance Indicators

Speed

Table 12: Number of speed tickets per population in Iceland versus the EU average

Measure	2006	2015	Average annual change	EU average (2015)
Number of speed tickets/1.000 population Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2	n/a 2016	n/a	-	94

Table 13: Percentage of speed offenders per road type in Iceland compared to the EU average

	Road type	2004	2013	Average annual change	EU average			
	Motorways	n/a	n/a	-	n/a			
	Rural roads	n/a	n/a	-	n/a			
	Urban roads	n/a	n/a	-	n/a			
Sources [1] ETSC 2010 [2] ETSC 2015								

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

Table 14: Mean speed per road type in Iceland compared to the EU average

Road type	2004	2012	Average annual change	EU average				
Motorways	n/a	n/a	-	n/a				
Rural roads	n/a	n/a	-	n/a				
Urban roads	n/a	n/a	-	n/a				
Sources: [1] ETSC 2010; [2] ETSC 2015								

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

Alcohol

Table 15: Road side surveys for drink-driving in Iceland compared to the EU average

2007	2015	annual change	EU average (2015)
n/a	n/a	-	209
n/a	n/a	-	2,2%
	n/a	n/a n/a	change n/a n/a -

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2016





Iceland has relatively many vehicles between 6 and 10 years.

Seat-belt wearing rates are lower than the EU average.

Vehicles

 Table 16: State of the vehicle fleet in Iceland compared to the EU average

 Vehicles
 FII average

venicles	EU average
Cars per age group (2008) [1]:	Passenger cars (2008) [2]
- ≤ 2 years: 8%	≤ 2 years: 14%
- 3 to 5 years: 15%	3 to 5 years: 18%
- 6 to 10 years: 39%	6 to 10 years: 26%
- > 10 years: 38%	>10 years: 42%
EuroNCAP occupant protection score of cars	
(new cars sold in 2013) [2]:	
- 5 stars: no information	5 stars: 52,5%
- 4 stars: no information	4 stars: 4,5%
- 3 stars: no information	3 stars: 2,9%
- 2 stars: no information	2 stars 0,5%
- not tested: no information	not tested: 39,6% ²
Source: [1] EUROSTAT, 2015; [2] ETSC, 2016	

Protective systems

Table 17: Protective system use in Iceland versus the average in EU							
Protective systems	EU average ³						
Daytime seat-belt wearing in cars and vans (2016):	(2016)						
 no information on % front 86% driver (2013) 89% front passenger 80% rear 93% child restraint system 	not available 91,6% driver 92,4% front passenger 70,9% rear not available						
Helmet use (2016):							
 No information on % powered two- wheelers riders no information on % cyclists Source: IRTAD, 2017 	not available						

² Based on data of 25 EU countries (excl. HR, LU and MT).

 $^{^3}$ Based on data of 17 EU countries; data of AT, DE, IE, IT, LT, FI, SE (2016); data of BE, CZ, HU, LU, PL, SI (2015); data of DK, HR, UK (2014); data of PT (2013)



Road Safety Outcomes

General positioning

The fatality rate of Iceland is lower than the EU average (around 49 fatalities per million population in 2015), however it has been subject to large fluctuation during the period 2001 to 2015 due to small fatality figures.





Sources: CARE, Eurostat

Figure 2: Development of fatalities per million inhabitants between 2001 and 2015 for Iceland and the EU average



Sources: CARE, Eurostat

The fatality rate of Iceland is currently the lowest in the EU, however it has been subject to large fluctuations during the period 2001 – 2015.



The share of car occupant fatalities is much higher than the EU average.

Iceland has a similar share of road fatalities by gender to the EU average.

Transport mode

The share of car occupant fatalities is much higher than the EU average. The average annual reduction of car occupants was 5%.

Table 19: Reported fatalities by mode of road transport in Iceland compared to the EU average

Transport mode	2002	2016	Average annual change	Share in 2016	EU avarage (2016)			
Pedestrians	1	2	5%	11%	21%			
Car occupants	26	13	-5%	72%	45%			
Motorcyclists	0	2	-	11%	15%			
Mopeds	0	0	-	0%	3%			
Cyclists	0	0	-	0%	9%			
Bus/coach occupants	0	0	-	0%	0%			
Lorries or truck occupants	1	1	0%	6%	5%			

Sources: CARE, national sources

Age, gender and nationality

Table 20: Reported fatalities by age, gender and nationality in Iceland versus the EU average

Age and gender	2002	2016	Average annual change	Share in 2016	EU average (2016)		
Females							
0 - 14 years	4	1	-10%	6%	1%		
15 – 17 years	0	0	-	0%	1%		
18 – 24 years	0	0	-	0%	3%		
25 – 49 years	4	0	-100%	0%	6%		
50 – 64 years	2	1	-5%	6%	4%		
65+ years	4	3	-2%	17%	10%		
Males							
0 - 14 years	1	0	-100%	0%	1%		
15 – 17 years	0	2	-	11%	2%		
18 – 24 years	4	0	-100%	0%	11%		
25 – 49 years	4	5	2%	28%	29%		
50 – 64 years	4	3	-2%	17%	15%		
65+ years	2	3	3%	17%	17%		
Nationality of killed person							
National	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Non-national	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Sources: CARE, national sources							



Location

Fatalities in rural areas are over-represented in Iceland compared to the EU average.

Table 21: Reported fatalities by location in Iceland compared to the EU average

Location	2001	2016	Average annual change	Share in 2016	EU average (2016)
Built-up areas	2	5	7%	28%	37%
Rural areas	27	13	-5%	72%	53%
Motorways	n/a	n/a	-%	-	8%
Junctions	n/a	n/a	-	-	20%

Sources: CARE, national sources

Lighting and weather conditions

Table 22: Reported fatalities by lighting and weather conditions in Icelandcompared to the EU average

Conditions	2002	2016	Average annual change	Share in 2016	EU average (2016)
Lightning conditions					
During daylight	18	9	-5%	50%	52%
During night-time	4	5	2%	28%	31%
Weather conditions					
While raining	4	1	-10%	6%	9%

Sources CARE, national sources

Single vehicle accidents

Table 23: Reported fatalities by type in Iceland compared to the EU average

Accident Type	2002	2016	Average annual change	Share in 2016	EU average (2016)
Single vehicle accidents	16	6	-7%	33%	29%
Sources: CARE, national sources					

Under-reporting of casualties

- Fatalities: 100%, due to improvements of the data recording systems.
- Hospitalised: no studies with quantitative information exist.



The share of fatal single vehicle accidents in Iceland is substantially higher than the EU average.





Risk Figures

Figure 3: Fatalities by vehicle type in Iceland in 2015



Sources CARE, IRTAD

Figure 4: Fatalities per million inhabitants in Iceland in 2015



In Iceland risk is highest for

drivers of goods motor vehicles, youngsters and the elderly.

Sources: CARE, EUROSTAT



Estimated costs of road injuries (especially fatal) are higher in Iceland than on average in Europe.

Social Cost

- The total cost of road accident casualties (fatalities and injuries) is estimated at 48,5 billion euros (2014).

Table 24: Cost (in million €) per injury type in Iceland versus the EU average

Injury type	Value	European average ⁴
Fatal	1,88	1,28
Hospitalised	0,22	0,18
Slightly injured	0,04	0,02

Sources: Bickel et al., 2006; national experts

⁴ Based on data of 20 countries (excl. BG, DE, FI, FR, HU, IS, LT, NO, RO and SK)

^{- 14 -}



Iceland aims to lower the number of killed and seriously injured by 5% each year and to be among the best performing countries of killed per capita.

Synthesis

Safety position

- The fatality rate of Iceland is lower than the EU average (around 49 fatalities per million population in 2015).
- Because of the low numbers, road safety data of Iceland should be handled with care, especially when comparing Iceland with other countries.

Scope of problem

- Given the small size of the country, the absolute number of fatalities is also relatively small.
- Most fatalities in Iceland are among car occupants. The share of car occupants is significantly higher than the EU average.
- In Iceland, fatalities in rural areas are over-represented.
- The small number of fatalities does not allow further identification of particular groups or types of accidents that may be problematic.

Recent progress

- The fatality rate of Iceland is subject to substantial fluctuation due to the country's small accident figures.
- Effectiveness of law enforcement is at or above the level of most EU countries.

Remarkable road safety policy issues

- Iceland aims to lower the number of killed and seriously injured by 5% each year and be among the best performing countries of killed per capita.
- In Iceland, roads are improved by safety impact assessment, high risk site treatment, safety inspections and audits.
- Allowed BAC limits for novice and professional drivers are higher than those of most EU countries.



References

- 1. CARE database (2017).
- 2. CIA database (2017).
- 3. DG-TREN (2010). Technical Assistance in support of the Preparation of the European Road Safety Action Program 2011-2020. Final Report. DG-TREN, Brussels.
- 4. European Commission website (2017a). http://europa.eu/youreurope/citizens/vehicles/registration/formalities/index_en. htm
- 5. European Commission website (2017b). http://europa.eu/youreurope/citizens/vehicles/driving-licence/get-drivinglicence/
- 6. European Commission DG Move website (2017). http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/index_en.htm
- 7. ETSC (2009). Boost the market for safer cars across Europe. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 13. ETSC, Brussels.
- 8. ETSC (2010). Road Safety Target in Sight: Making up for lost time. + Background tables 4th Road Safety PIN report. ETSC, Brussels.
- 9. ETSC (2014). Ranking EU progress on car occupant safety. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 27. ETSC, Brussels.
- 10. ETSC (2015). Enforcement in the EU-Vision 2020. + Background tables. ETSC, Brussels.
- 11. ETSC (2015). Making walking and cycling on Europe's roads safer. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 29. ETSC, Brussels.
- 12. ETSC (2015). Ranking EU progress on improving motorway safety. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 28. ETSC, Brussels.
- ETSC (2016). How safe are the new cars sold in the EU? An analysis of the market penetration of Euro NCAP-rated cars. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 30. ETSC, Brussels.
- 14. ETSC (2016). How traffic law enforcement can contribute to safer roads. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 31. ETSC, Brussels.
- 15. Eurostat database (2017).
- 16. European Commission (2014). Handbook on External Costs of Transport. Final Report. Ricardo-AEA/R/ ED57769 Issue Number 1; 8th January 2014.
- 17. European Commission (2015). Road Safety in the European Union: Trends, statistics and main challenges. European Commission, Mobility and Transport DG, Brussels.
- 18. National Sources (2017): via national CARE experts and official national sources of statistics.
- 19. OECD/ITF (2014). Road Safety Annual Report 2014. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- 20. OECD/ITF (2015). Road Safety Annual Report 2015. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- 21. OECD/ITF (2015). Road Infrastructure Safety Management. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- 22. OECD/ITF (2016). Road Safety Annual Report 2016. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- 23. OECD/ITF (2017). Road Safety Annual Report 2017. OECD Publishing, Paris.
- 24. ROSE25 (2005). Inventory and compiling of a European good practice guide on road safety education targeted at young people. Final report. KfV, Vienna.
- 25. SUPREME (2007) Final Report Part F1. Thematic Report: Education and Campaigns. European Commission, Brussels.
- 26. Torfs, K., Meesmann, U., Van den Berghe, W., & Trotta M., (2016). ESRA 2015 The results. Synthesis of the main findings from the ESRA survey in 17 countries. ESRA project (European Survey of Road users' safety Attitudes). Belgian Road Safety Institute, Brussels.
- 27. WHO (2013). Global status report on road safety 2013: supporting a decade of action. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
- 28. WHO (2015) Global status report on road safety 2015. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
- 29. UNECE database (2017).



Notes

1. Country abbreviations

		1						
	Belgium	BE		Italy	IT		Romania	RO
	Bulgaria	BG		Cyprus	CY	\$	Slovenia	SI
	Czech Republic	CZ		Latvia	LV		Slovakia	SK
	Denmark	DK		Lithuania	LT		Finland	FI
	Germany	DE		Luxembourg	LU	_	Sweden	SE
	Estonia	EE		Hungary	HU		United Kingdom	UK
	Iceland	IE	*	Malta	MT			
±	Greece	EL		Netherlands	NL		Iceland	IS
*	Spain	ES		Austria	AT	<u>4</u>	Liechtenstein	LI
	France	FR		Poland	PL		Norway	NO
	Croatia	HR	۲	Portugal	PT	+	Switzerland	СН

2. Sources: CARE (Community database on road accidents), EUROSTAT, ITF-IRTAD, National sources.

The full glossary of definitions of variables used in this Report is available at: http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/pdf/statistics/cadas_glossary.pdf

3. Data available in September 2017.

4. Average annual change is calculated with the power function between the first and last years:

 $[aac = (b/a)^{1/n}-1$, where aac: annual average change, a: first year value, b: last year value, n: number of years].

5. Explanation of symbols in Tables:

n/a: not available

"-": not applicable (e.g. calculation cannot be performed)

6. This 2017 edition of Road Safety Country Overviews updates the previous version produced in 2012 within the EU co-funded research project <u>DaCoTA</u>.

7. Disclaimer

This report has been produced by the National Technical University of Athens (NTUA), the Austrian Road Safety Board (KFV) and the European Union Road Federation (ERF) under a contract with the European Commission. Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the information presented in this report is relevant, accurate and up-to-date, the Partners cannot accept any liability for any error or omission, or reliance on part or all of the content in another context.

Any information and views set out in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this study. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained therein.

8. Please refer to this Report as follows:

European Commission, Road Safety Country Overview - Iceland, European Commission, Directorate General for Transport, September 2017.

