

Structure and Culture

Basic Data

Table 1: Basic data of Sweden in relation to the EU average

Basic data of Sweden	EU average
- Population: 9,85 million inhabitants (2016) [2]	18,1 million (2015)
- Area: 450.295 km ² (2016) [2] (8,87% water) (2015)[2]	159.663 km ² (2015) 2,94% water (2015)
- Climate and weather conditions (capital city; 2016)[3]:	(2015)
- Average winter temperature (Nov. to April): 2,2°C	5,1°C
- Average summer temperature (May to Oct.): 15,5 °C	16,6°C
- Annual precipitation level: 586,86 mm	691,5 mm
- Exposure: 80.714 million vehicle km (2015)[1]	168.260 million vehicle km (2015)
- 0,54 vehicles per person (2015)[2]	0,57 (2015)

Sources: [1] IRTAD; [2] EUROSTAT; [3] national sources; [4] CIA

Sweden has a low population density and a high GDP per capita.

Country characteristics

Table 2: Characteristics of Sweden in comparison to the EU average

Characteristics of Sweden	EU average
- Population density: 22 inhabitants/km ² (2016) [2]	114 inhabitants/km ² (2015)
- Population composition (2015) [2]: 17,3% children (0-14 years) 63,1% adults (15-64 years) 19,6% elderly (65 years and over)	15,6% children 65,5% adults 18,9% elderly (2015)
- Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita: €45.376 (2016) [2]	€26.300 (2015)
- 86,1% of population lives inside urban area (2017)	72,6% (2015)
- Special characteristics [4]: mostly flat or gently rolling lowlands	

Sources: [1] IRTAD; [2] EUROSTAT; [3] national sources; [4] CIA

Structure of road safety management

The Swedish Transport Administration (Trafikverket) is the government agency responsible for the long-term planning of the transport system. Trafikverket is also in charge of the state road network. Road safety policies were managed by the Swedish Road Administration (Vägverket), however now they are within the responsibilities of the Swedish Transport Administration.

The following key actors are responsible for Road Safety (RS) policy making:

Table 3: Key actors per function in Sweden

Key functions	Key actors
1. - Formulation of national RS strategy - Setting targets - Development of the RS programme	- Ministry of Enterprise and Innovation (Minister for Infrastructure) - The Swedish Transport Administration - The Swedish Transport Agency - Transport Analysis
2. Monitoring of the RS development in the country	- Ministry of Enterprise and Innovation - Swedish Transport Agency - The Swedish Transport Administration - Vision Zero Academy - Transport Analysis
3. Improvements in road infrastructure	- Ministry of Enterprise and Innovation - Swedish Transport Administration
4. Vehicle improvement	- The Swedish Transport Agency
5. Improvement in road user education	- The Swedish Transport Agency - Swedish Transport Administration - Public Transport Authorities
6. Publicity campaigns	- Ministry of Enterprise and Innovation - The Swedish Transport Agency - Swedish Road Administration - Vision Zero Academy
7. Enforcement of road traffic laws	- The Swedish National Police Board - Transport Analysis
8. Other relevant actors	- Various stakeholders from the industry

Sources: national sources

Zero Vision, Sweden's road safety policy, is based on the simple fact that we are all human-beings, and as such we make mistakes.

Swedish drivers are more supportive for stricter legislation on speeding and drink-driving compared to drivers in other countries.

Attitudes towards risk taking

- Swedish drivers are more supportive for stricter legislation on speeding and drink-driving compared to drivers in other countries.
- The perceived probability of being checked is much lower than the ESRA-average.

Table 4: Road safety attitudes and behaviour of drivers

	Sweden	ESRA average
Self-reported driving behaviour	% of drivers that show behaviour at least once	
In the past 12 months, as a road user, how often did you drive without respecting a safe distance to the car in front?	75%	60%
In the past 12 months, as a road user, how often did you talk on a hand-held mobile phone while driving?	64%	38%
In the past 12 months, as a road user, how often did you drive faster than the speed limit inside built-up areas?	65%	68%
Supporting stricter legislation	% of drivers that disagree with the following	
What do you think about the current traffic rules and penalties in your country for each of the following themes?: The penalties are too severe: for speeding	68%	61%
What do you think about the current traffic rules and penalties in your country for each of the following themes?: The penalties are too severe: alcohol	93%	87%
Do you support the following measure?: Zero tolerance for alcohol (0,0‰) for all drivers	30%	41%
Perceived probability of being checked	% of drivers with answers in following categories	
In the past 12 months, have you been stopped by the police for a check? (once or more)	32%	31%
On a typical journey, how likely is it that you (as a driver) will be checked by the police for respecting the speed limits (including checks by police car with a camera and/or GoSafe cameras)? (Very (big) chance)	19%	37%
In the past 12 months, have you been checked by the police for alcohol while driving a car (i.e., being subjected to a Breathalyser test)? (once or more)	30%	19%

Source: ESRA 2016

Legend

(comparison of country attitude in relation to average attitude of other SARTRE countries):

	2-9% better
	10-19% better
	≥ 20% better
	2-9% worse
	10-19% worse
	≥ 20% worse

The Swedish RS management is based on the safe system approach Vision Zero.

Programmes and measures

Road safety strategy of the country

- The Swedish road safety management is based on Vision Zero, a safe system approach where no one should be at risk of being fatally or severely injured while using road transport. There is no safety plan in a traditional sense.

National strategic plans and targets

- During 2015 and 2016, the Ministry of Enterprise relaunched Vision Zero, which concluded to three new assignments.
- Targets (referred to 2007):

Table 5: Road safety targets for Sweden

Year	Fatalities	Serious injuries
2020	-50% Max. 220	-25%

Source: IRTAD, 2017

- Priority topics:
 - Revision of some of the performance indicators used
 - compliance with speed limits
 - decrease of drink-driving
 - infrastructure adequately adapted to vulnerable road users

(Source: IRTAD, 2017)

Road infrastructure

Table 6: Description of the road categories and their characteristics in Sweden

Road type	General speed limits for passenger cars (km/h)
Urban roads	50
Rural roads	70
Motorways	110

Source: EC DG-Move, 2017

- Special rules for: no information
- Guidelines and strategic plans for infrastructure are available in Sweden.

High risk site treatment is not obligatory in Sweden.

Table 7: Obligatory parts of infrastructure management in Sweden and other EU countries

Obligatory parts in Sweden:	EU countries with obligation
Safety impact assessment: yes	32%
Road safety audits: yes	81%
Road safety inspections: yes	89%
High risk site treatment: no	74%

Sources: DG-TREN, 2010; national sources

- Recent activities of road infrastructure improvement have been addressing: the goal for 2025 is that all rural roads with an average annual daily traffic above 2000 vehicles/day should have a median barrier or a speed limit at or below 80km/h.

(Source: IRTAD, 2017)

Traffic laws and regulations

Table 8: Description of the regulations in Sweden in relation to the most common regulations in other EU countries

Regulations in Sweden [1]	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Allowed BAC ¹ levels:	
- General population: 0,2‰	0,5‰ (61%)
- Novice drivers: 0,2‰	0,2‰ (39%) and 0,0‰ (36%)
- Professional drivers: 0,2‰	0,2‰ (36%) and 0,0‰ (36%)
Phoning:	
- Hand held: not allowed	Not allowed (all countries)
- Hands free: allowed	Allowed (all countries)
Use of restraint systems:	
- Driver: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Front passenger: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Rear passengers: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Children: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
Helmet wearing:	
- Motor riders: Obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Moped riders: Obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Cyclists: obligatory up to 15 years old	Not obligatory (46%)
- Daytime running lights are mandatory.	
- A demerit point system is in place. [2]	

Sources: [1] EC DG-Move, 2017; [2] WHO, 2013

Enforcement

Table 9: Effectiveness of enforcement effort in Sweden according to an international respondent consensus (scale = 0–10)

Issue	Score for Sweden	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Speed legislation enforcement	6	7 (43%)
Seat-belt law enforcement	8	7 (25%) and 8 (25%)
Child restraint law enforcement	7	8 (39%)
Helmet legislation enforcement	8	9 (50%)
Drink-driving law enforcement	8	8 (43%)

Source: WHO, 2015

Effectiveness of enforcement in most issues is lower in Sweden than in most EU countries.

¹ Blood Alcohol Concentration

As in most other countries, Sweden has compulsory road safety education and similar driving licences thresholds.

Road User Education and Training

Table 10: Road user education and training in Sweden compared to the situation in other EU countries

Education and training in Sweden	Most common in EU (% of countries)
General education programmes:	
- Primary school: compulsory	Compulsory (71%)
- Secondary school: voluntary	Compulsory (43%)
- Other groups: no information	-
Driving licences thresholds:	
- Passenger car: 18 years	18 years (82%)
- Motorised two wheeler: 16 years for A1 category; 18 years for A2 category; 20 years for A category	16 years for low categories (68%) and 18 years for higher categories (64%)
- Buses and coaches: 21 years	21 years (89%)
- Lorries and trucks: 21 years	21 years (71%)

Sources: [1] ROSE25, 2005; [2] national sources; [3] EC website

Public Campaigns

Table 11: Public campaigns in Sweden compared to the situation in other EU countries

Campaigns in Sweden	Most common issues in EU (% of countries)
Organisation:	
- Swedish Transport Administration	
- Local authorities	
Main themes:	
- speed cameras (information)	Drink-driving (96%)
- drink-driving	Speeding (86%)
- seat-belts	Seat-belt (79%)

Sources: [1] SUPREME, 2005; [2] ETSC, 2011; [3] national sources

Vehicles and technology (national developments)

Table 12: Developments of vehicles and technology in Sweden, compared to the situation in other EU countries

Mandatory technical inspections:	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Passenger cars: first inspection after 34 months, then after 2 years, then every 12 months	Every 12 months (39%)
Motorcycles: every 24 months	Every 24 months (32%)
Buses or coaches: every 12 months	Every 12 months (61%)
Lorries or trucks: every 12 months	Every 12 months (68%)

Sources: EC website, national sources

Mandatory vehicle inspection periods vary in Sweden, depending on the vehicle type.

The amount of speed tickets per population in Sweden is below the EU average.

Road Safety Performance Indicators

Speed

Table 13: Number of speed tickets per population in Sweden versus the EU average

Measure	2006	2015	Average annual change	EU average (2015)
Number of speed tickets/1.000 population	21	17	-2,3%	94

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2016

Table 14: Percentage of speed offenders per road type in Sweden compared to the EU average

Road type	2004	2012	Average annual change	EU average
Motorways	64%	54%	-2,1%	n/a
Rural roads	55% (70 km/h limit) 50% (90 km/h limit) 60% (110 km/h limit)	n/a	-	n/a
Urban roads	n/a	n/a	-	n/a

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

Table 15: Mean speed per road type in Sweden compared to the EU average

Road type	2004	2012	Average annual change	EU average
Motorways	110 km/h	106 km/h	-0,5%	n/a
Rural roads	68 (70 km/h limit) 89 (90 km/h limit) 111 (110 km/h limit)	n/a	-	n/a
Urban roads	n/a	n/a	-	n/a

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

Alcohol

Table 16: Road side surveys for drink-driving in Sweden compared to the EU average

Measure	2006	2015	Average annual change	EU average (2015)
Amount of tests/1.000 population	264	130	7,6%	209
% tested over the limit	0,9%	1%	1,2%	2,2%

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2016

The amount of drink-driving tests per population decreased in Sweden between 2006 and 2015, while the percentage of offenders slightly increased.

Car fleet in Sweden is newer and safer than the EU average.

Seat-belt and helmet wearing rates are very high in Sweden.

Vehicles

Table 17: State of the vehicle fleet in Sweden compared to the EU average

Vehicles	EU average
Cars per age group (2015) [1]:	Passenger cars (2015)
- <2 years: 17,3%	<2 years: 10,5%
- 2 to 5 years: 17,5%	2 to 5 years: 12,5%
- 5 to 10 years: 25,4%	6 to 10 years: 26,0%
- >10 years: 39,8%	>10 years: 51,0%
EuroNCAP occupant protection score of cars (new cars sold in 2013) [2]:	
- 5 stars: 57,8%	5 stars: 52,5%
- 4 stars: 2,7%	4 stars: 4,5%
- 3 stars: 1,6%	3 stars: 2,9%
- 2 stars: 0,6%	2 stars: 0,5%
- not tested: 37,3%	not tested: 39,6% ²

Source: [1] EUROSTAT, 2017; [2] ETSC, 2016

Protective systems

Table 18: Protective system use in Sweden versus the average in EU

Protective systems	EU average ³
Daytime seat-belt wearing in cars and vans (2016) [1]:	(2016)
- no information on % front	not available
- 98% driver	91,6% driver
- 96% front passenger	92,4% front passenger
- 93% rear	70,9% rear
- 99% child restraint systems	not available
Helmet use (2016) [1]:	
- 96-99 % powered two-wheelers riders	not available
- 37% cyclists (2014) [2]	

Sources: [1] IRTAD, 2017; [2] ETSC, 2015

² Based on data of 25 EU countries (excl. HR, LU and MT).

³ Based on data of 17 EU countries; data of AT, DE, IE, IT, LT, FI, SE (2016); data of BE, CZ, HU, LU, PL, SI (2015); data of DK, HR, UK (2014); data of PT (2013)


Road Safety Outcomes

General positioning

The fatality rate of Sweden is one of the lowest in the EU (around 27 fatalities per million population in 2015). Its development was similar to the EU average in the period 2001 to 2015.


The fatality rate of Sweden is one of the lowest in the EU. Its development was similar to the EU average in the period 2001 to 2015.

Figure 1: Fatalities per million inhabitants in 2015 with EU average



Sources: CARE, Eurostat

Figure 2: Development of fatalities per million inhabitants between 2001 and 2015 for Sweden and the EU average



Sources: CARE, Eurostat

The share of cyclist fatalities is higher than the EU average.

Transport mode

The share of cyclist fatalities is higher than the EU average. While the average annual reduction of motorcyclist fatalities between 2001 and 2014 was only 2%, it was 8% for car occupants. In the same period, the annual reduction rates of pedestrian and cyclist fatalities were 4% and 2%.

Table 19: Reported fatalities by mode of road transport in Sweden compared to the EU average

Transport mode	2001	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Pedestrians	87	52	-4%	19%	22%
Car occupants	373	122	-8%	45%	45%
Motorcyclists	38	31	-2%	11%	15%
Mopeds	9	8	-1%	3%	3%
Cyclists	43	33	-2%	12%	8%
Bus/coach occupants	6	2	-8%	1%	1%
Lorries or truck occupants	20	13	-3%	5%	5%

Sources: CARE, national sources

Age, gender and nationality

Table 20: Reported fatalities by age, gender and nationality in Sweden versus the EU average

Age and gender	2001	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Females					
0-14 years	9	3	-8%	1%	1%
15 – 17 years	7	0	-100%	0%	1%
18 – 24 years	17	7	-7%	3%	3%
25 – 49 years	42	24	-4%	9%	6%
50 – 64 years	26	13	-5%	5%	4%
65+ years	48	32	-3%	12%	9%
Males					
0-14 years	9	4	-6%	1%	1%
15 – 17 years	15	7	-6%	3%	2%
18 – 24 years	83	18	-11%	7%	12%
25 – 49 years	145	54	-7%	20%	29%
50 – 64 years	82	41	-5%	15%	15%
65+ years	99	67	-3%	25%	16%
Nationality of killed person					
National	n/a	263	n/a	97%	n/a
Non-national	n/a	4	n/a	1%	n/a

Sources: CARE, national sources

Sweden has a somewhat higher share of female road fatalities than the EU average.

Fatalities in rural areas are over-represented in Sweden.

Location

Fatalities in rural areas are over-represented in Sweden compared to the EU average.

Table 21: Reported fatalities by location in Sweden compared to the EU average

Location	2001	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Built-up areas	180	67	-7%	25%	38%
Rural areas	373	158	-6%	59%	54%
Motorways	30	31	0%	11%	7%
Junctions	155	50	-8%	19%	19%

Sources: CARE, national sources

Lighting and weather conditions

Table 22: Reported fatalities by lighting and weather conditions in Sweden compared to the EU average

Conditions	2001	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Lightning conditions					
During daylight	339	162	-6%	60%	49%
During night-time	207	78	-7%	29%	30%
Weather conditions					
While raining	48	17	-8%	6%	9%

Sources: CARE, national sources

Single vehicle accidents

Table 23: Reported fatalities by type in Sweden compared to the EU average

Accident Type	2001	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Single vehicle accidents	193	75	-7%	28%	28%


Sources: CARE, national sources

Under-reporting of casualties

- Fatalities: 100%, due to improvements of the data recording systems.
- Hospitalised: no studies with quantitative information exist.


Risk Figures

Figure 3: Fatalities by vehicle type in Sweden in 2014



Sources CARE, IRTAD

Figure 4: Fatalities per million inhabitants in Sweden in 2014



Sources: CARE, EUROSTAT

Risk in Sweden is highest for motorcyclists, youngsters and elderly people.

Social Cost

- The total cost of road accident casualties (fatalities and injuries) is estimated at 48,5 billion euros (2014).
- The following costs are an update of the values in Table 5.3 of the HEATCO Deliverable D5 (2006) to base year 2010. Each figure includes the value of safety per se (VSL⁴ for fatality, 13% of VSL for severe, 1% for light injury) and the value of direct and indirect economic costs (10% of VSL for fatality, severe and slight injury based on HEATCO (2005)). EU average based on the VSL of €1,7 million.
- The costs per casualty for 2010 are as follows:

Table 24: Cost (€) per injury type in Sweden versus the EU average

Country	Fatality	Severe injury	Slight injury
Austria	2.395.000	327.000	25.800
Belgium	2.178.000	330.400	21.300
Bulgaria	984.000	127.900	9.800
Croatia	1.333.000	173.300	13.300
Cyprus	1.234.000	163.100	11.900
Czech Republic	1.446.000	194.300	14.100
Denmark	2.364.000	292.600	22.900
Estonia	1.163.000	155.800	11.200
Finland	2.213.000	294.300	22.000
France	2.070.000	289.200	21.600
Germany	2.220.000	307.100	24.800
Greece	1.518.000	198.400	15.100
Hungary	1.225.000	164.400	11.900
Ireland	2.412.000	305.600	23.300
Italy	1.916.000	246.200	18.800
Latvia	1.034.000	140.000	10.000
Lithuania	1.061.000	144.900	10.500
Luxembourg	3.323.000	517.700	31.200
Malta	2.122.000	269.500	20.100
Netherlands	2.388.000	316.400	25.500
Poland	1.168.000	156.700	11.300
Portugal	1.505.000	201.100	13.800
Romania	1.048.000	136.200	10.400
Slovakia	1.593.000	219.700	15.700
Slovenia	1.989.000	258.300	18.900
Spain	1.913.000	237.800	17.900
Sweden	2.240.000	328.700	23.500
Great Britain	2.170.000	280.300	22.200
EU average	1.870.000	243.100	18.700

Source: Update of the Handbook on External Costs of Transport. Final Report. Report for the European Commission: DG MOVE. Ricardo-AEA/R/ ED57769 Issue Number 1; 8th January 2014

The estimated costs of road casualties in Sweden are somewhat higher for fatal injuries than the EU average, but much higher for non-fatal severe injuries.

⁴ Value of Statistical Life

Synthesis

Safety position

- The fatality rate of Sweden is one of the lowest in the EU (around 27 fatalities per million population in 2015).

Scope of problem

- The largest share of road fatalities in Sweden are among car occupants. The share of cyclist fatalities is higher than the EU average.
- Sweden has a somewhat higher share of female road fatalities than the EU average. The elderly is the highest risk group in Sweden.
- By far the most – and relatively much – fatal accidents in Sweden happen on rural roads.
- Relatively many fatal accidents in Sweden happen during daylight.
- The amount of speed tickets per population in Sweden is below the EU average.

Recent progress

- Since 2001, the development of the fatality rate was similar to the EU average.
- Effectiveness of enforcement in most issues is lower in Sweden than in most EU countries.
- The amount of drink-driving tests per population decreased in Sweden between 2006 and 2015, while the percentage of offenders slightly increased.
- The vehicle fleet in Sweden is newer and safer than the EU average.

Remarkable road safety policy issues

- The most remarkable road safety policy issue in Sweden is Vision Zero, a strategic approach towards a safe system where no one is at risk of being fatally or severely injured while using road transport.
- Safety impact assessment, road audits and inspections are obligatory parts of infrastructure management.
- Sweden has a 0,2‰ limit for drink-driving, which is lower than in most other EU countries.
- Seat-belt and helmet wearing rates are very high in Sweden.

Effectiveness of enforcement in most issues is lower in Sweden than in most EU countries, however, helmet and seat-belt wearing rates are very high.

References

1. CARE database (2017).
2. CIA database (2017).
3. DG-TREN (2010). Technical Assistance in support of the Preparation of the European Road Safety Action Program 2011-2020. Final Report. DG-TREN, Brussels.
4. European Commission website (2017a).
http://europa.eu/youreurope/citizens/vehicles/registration/formalities/index_en.htm
5. European Commission website (2017b).
<http://europa.eu/youreurope/citizens/vehicles/driving-licence/get-driving-licence/>
6. European Commission DG Move website (2017).
http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/index_en.htm
7. ETSC (2009). Boost the market for safer cars across Europe. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 13. ETSC, Brussels.
8. ETSC (2010). Road Safety Target in Sight: Making up for lost time. + Background tables 4th Road Safety PIN report. ETSC, Brussels.
9. ETSC (2014). Ranking EU progress on car occupant safety. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 27. ETSC, Brussels.
10. ETSC (2015). Enforcement in the EU-Vision 2020. + Background tables. ETSC, Brussels.
11. ETSC (2015). Making walking and cycling on Europe's roads safer. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 29. ETSC, Brussels.
12. ETSC (2015). Ranking EU progress on improving motorway safety. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 28. ETSC, Brussels.
13. ETSC (2016). How safe are the new cars sold in the EU? An analysis of the market penetration of Euro NCAP-rated cars. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 30. ETSC, Brussels.
14. ETSC (2016). How traffic law enforcement can contribute to safer roads. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 31. ETSC, Brussels.
15. Eurostat database (2017).
16. European Commission (2014). Handbook on External Costs of Transport. Final Report. Ricardo-AEA/R/ ED57769 Issue Number 1; 8th January 2014.
17. European Commission (2015). Road Safety in the European Union: Trends, statistics and main challenges. European Commission, Mobility and Transport DG, Brussels.
18. National Sources (2017): via national CARE experts and official national sources of statistics.
19. OECD/ITF (2014). Road Safety Annual Report 2014. OECD Publishing, Paris.
20. OECD/ITF (2015). Road Safety Annual Report 2015. OECD Publishing, Paris.
21. OECD/ITF (2015). Road Infrastructure Safety Management. OECD Publishing, Paris.
22. OECD/ITF (2016). Road Safety Annual Report 2016. OECD Publishing, Paris.
23. OECD/ITF (2017). Road Safety Annual Report 2017. OECD Publishing, Paris.
24. ROSE25 (2005). Inventory and compiling of a European good practice guide on road safety education targeted at young people. Final report. KfV, Vienna.
25. SUPREME (2007) Final Report Part F1. Thematic Report: Education and Campaigns. European Commission, Brussels.
26. Torfs, K., Meesmann, U., Van den Berghe, W., & Trotta M., (2016). ESRA 2015 – The results. Synthesis of the main findings from the ESRA survey in 17 countries. ESRA project (European Survey of Road users' safety Attitudes). Belgian Road Safety Institute, Brussels.
27. WHO (2013). Global status report on road safety 2013: supporting a decade of action. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
28. WHO (2015) Global status report on road safety 2015. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
29. UNECE database (2017).

Notes

1. Country abbreviations

	Belgium	BE		Italy	IT		Romania	RO
	Bulgaria	BG		Cyprus	CY		Slovenia	SI
	Czech Republic	CZ		Latvia	LV		Slovakia	SK
	Denmark	DK		Lithuania	LT		Finland	FI
	Germany	DE		Luxembourg	LU		Sweden	SE
	Estonia	EE		Hungary	HU		United Kingdom	UK
	Ireland	IE		Malta	MT			
	Greece	EL		Netherlands	NL		Iceland	IS
	Spain	ES		Austria	AT		Liechtenstein	LI
	France	FR		Poland	PL		Norway	NO
	Croatia	HR		Portugal	PT		Switzerland	CH

2. Sources: CARE (Community database on road accidents), EUROSTAT, ITF-IRTAD, National sources.

The full glossary of definitions of variables used in this Report is available at: http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/pdf/statistics/cadas_glossary.pdf

3. Data available in September 2017.

4. Average annual change is calculated with the power function between the first and last years:

[aac = (b/a)^{1/n}-1, where aac: annual average change, a: first year value, b: last year value, n: number of years].

5. Explanation of symbols in Tables:

n/a: not available

"-": not applicable (e.g. calculation cannot be performed)

6. This 2017 edition of Road Safety Country Overviews updates the previous version produced in 2012 within the EU co-funded research project [DaCoTA](#).

7. Disclaimer

This report has been produced by the National Technical University of Athens ([NTUA](#)), the Austrian Road Safety Board ([KFV](#)) and the European Union Road Federation ([ERF](#)) under a contract with the [European Commission](#). Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the information presented in this report is relevant, accurate and up-to-date, the Partners cannot accept any liability for any error or omission, or reliance on part or all of the content in another context.

Any information and views set out in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this study. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained therein.

8. Please refer to this Report as follows:

European Commission, Road Safety Country Overview – Sweden, European Commission, Directorate General for Transport, September 2017.

