

Structure and Culture

Basic Data

Table 1: Basic data of Lithuania in relation to the EU average

Basic data of Lithuania	EU average
- Population: 2,92 million inhabitants (2015)[2]	18,1 million (2015)
- Area: 65.300 km ² (2015)[2] (4% water) (2015)[4]	159.663 km ² (2015) 2,94% water (2015)
- Climate and weather conditions (capital city; 2015) [3]:	(2015)
- Average winter temperature (Nov. to April): 2,5°C	6,5°C
- Average summer temperature (May to Oct.): 14°C	17,8°C
- Annual precipitation level: 653 mm	651 mm
- Exposure: 27,31 billion passenger km (2014) [2]	122,4 billion vehicle km (2014) ¹
- 0,51 vehicles per person (2014) [1]	0,62 (2014)

Sources: [1] IRTAD; [2] EUROSTAT; [3] national sources; [4] CIA

Lithuania has a low population density.

Country characteristics

Table 2: Characteristics of Lithuania in comparison to the EU average

Characteristics of Lithuania	EU average
- Population density: 45 inhabitants/km ² (2015) [2]	114 inhabitants/km ² (2015)
- Population composition (2015) [2]	
14,6% children (0-14 years)	15,6% children
66,7% adults (15-64 years)	65,5% adults
19,4% elderly (65 years and over)	18,9% elderly (2015)
- Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita: €11.500 (2015) [2]	€26.300 (2015)
- 66,5% of population lives inside urban area (2015)[4]	73,3% (2015)
- Special characteristics [4]: lowland, many scattered small lakes	

Sources: [1] IRTAD; [2] EUROSTAT; [3] national sources ; [4] CIA

¹ Based on the average of 24 EU countries.

In Lithuania the policies of the road safety are formed by the Ministry of Transport and Communications.

Structure of road safety management

In Lithuania the policies of the road safety and reduction of the negative environmental impact of transport modes are formed by the Ministry of Transport and Communications of the Republic of Lithuania.

The following key-actors are responsible for road safety (RS) policy making:

Table 3: Key actors per function in Lithuania

Key functions	Key actors
1. - Formulation of national RS strategy - Setting targets - Development of the RS programme	- Ministry of Transport and Communications - Lithuanian Road Administration
2. Monitoring of the RS development in the country	- Traffic Safety Commission (The Commission approved by the Government consists of governmental/state administration and municipal administration bodies and representatives of NGOs)
3. Improvements in road infrastructure	- The Lithuanian Road Administration (LRA) under the Ministry of Transport and Communications
4. Vehicle improvement	- The State Road Transport Inspectorate under the Ministry of Transport and Communications
5. Improvement in road user education	- The State Road Transport Inspectorate under the Ministry of Transport and Communications - Ministry of Education - LRA
6. Publicity campaigns	- Traffic Safety Commission
7. Enforcement of road traffic laws	- Police
8. Other relevant actors	- Lithuanian National Association Helping Traffic Victims (NPNA) - Universities and research institutes

Sources: national sources

Attitudes towards risk taking

As Lithuania is not part of the ESRA survey, there is no information on attitudes that is comparable to other European countries.

Lithuania developed a new National Traffic Safety Development Programme for 2011-2017.

Lithuania uses high risk site treatment and road safety audits to improve infrastructure.

Programmes and measures

National strategic plans and targets

- The current National Traffic Safety Development Programme in Lithuania spans the years 2011-2017.
- Targets:

Table 5: Road safety targets for Lithuania

Year	Fatalities
2017	Max. 6 fatalities per 100.000 population

Source IRTAD, 2016

- Priority topics: It is planned to improve:
 - road user behaviour
 - vehicle safety
 - infrastructure
 - rescue service quality
 - the accident data collection system

(Source: IRTAD, 2016)

Road infrastructure

Table 6: Description of the road categories and their characteristics in Lithuania

Road type	General speed limits for passenger cars (km/h)
Urban roads	50
Rural roads	70/90
Motorways	120/130

Source: IRTAD, 2016

- Special rules for:
 - 70 km/h on rural roads and 90 km/h on motorways for drivers under 2 years of driving experience
 - 110 km/h on motorways during winter
 - Trucks > 7,5 ton: 80 km/h
 - Light motorcycles (A1; until 18 years): 80 km/h
- Guidelines and strategic plans for infrastructure are available in Lithuania.

(Source: IRTAD, 2016)

Table 7: Obligatory parts of infrastructure management in Lithuania and other EU countries

Obligatory parts in Lithuania:	EU countries with obligation
Safety impact assessment: no	32%
Road safety audits: yes	81%
Road safety inspections: no	89%
High risk site treatment: yes	74%

Sources: IRTAD, 2015

Lithuania has lower legal drink-driving levels than most other EU countries.

- Recent activities of road infrastructure improvement have been addressing:
 - In 2014 a national method to manage city black spots was approved and a pilot project was implemented for the five largest cities.

(Sources: IRTAD 2016)

Traffic laws and regulations

Table 8: Description of the regulations in Lithuania in relation to the most common regulations in other EU countries

Regulations in Lithuania [1]	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Allowed BAC² levels:	
- General population: 0,4‰	0,5‰ (61%)
- Novice drivers: 0,0‰	0,2‰ (39%) and 0,0‰ (36%)
- Professional drivers: 0,0‰	0,2‰ (36%) and 0,0‰ (36%)
- Moped and motorcycle drivers: 0,0‰	
Phoning:	
- Hand held: not allowed	Not allowed (all countries)
- Hands free: allowed	Allowed (all countries)
Use of restraint systems:	
- Driver: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Front passenger: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Rear passengers: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Children: obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
Helmet wearing:	
- Motor riders: Obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Moped riders: Obligatory	Obligatory (all countries)
- Cyclists: obligatory up to 18 years old, recommended for others	Not obligatory (46%)
- Daytime running lights are mandatory.	

Sources: [1] EC DG-Move, 2016

Enforcement

Table 9: Effectiveness of enforcement effort in Lithuania according to an international respondent consensus (scale = 0-10)

Issue	Score for Lithuania	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Speed legislation enforcement	8	7 (43%)
Seat-belt law enforcement	8	7 (25%) and 8 (25%)
Child restraint law enforcement	8	8 (39%)
Helmet legislation enforcement	8	9 (50%)
Drink-driving law enforcement	8	8 (43%)

Source: WHO, 2015

² Blood Alcohol Concentration

Road safety education is available in Lithuania on a voluntary basis.

Road User Education and Training

Table 10: Road user education and training in Lithuania compared to the situation in other EU countries

Education and training in Lithuania	Most common in EU (% of countries)
General education programmes:	
- Primary school: voluntary	Compulsory (71%)
- Secondary school: voluntary	Compulsory (43%)
- Other groups: no information	-
Driving licences thresholds:	
- Passenger car: 18 years	18 years (79%)
- Motorised two wheeler: 18-21 years	18 years (low categories) and higher ages (32%)
- Buses and coaches: 21 years	21 years (86%)
- Lorries and trucks: 21 years	21 years (75%)

Sources: [1] ROSE25, 2005; [2] ETSC, 2011; [3] national sources

Public Campaigns

Table 11: Public campaigns in Lithuania compared to the situation in other EU countries

Campaigns in Lithuania	Most common issues in EU (% of countries)
Organisation:	
- The State Traffic Safety Council	
Main themes:	
- speed	
- drink-driving	Drink-driving (96%)
- seat-belt	Speeding (86%)
- education of school children	Seat-belt (79%)
- Hassle-free holidays	
- Politeness among drivers	

Sources: [1] SUPREME, 2005; [2] ETSC, 2011; [3] national sources

Vehicles and technology (national developments)

Table 12: Developments of vehicles and technology in Lithuania, compared to the situation in other EU countries

Mandatory technical inspections:	Most common in EU (% of countries)
Passenger cars: first inspection after 3 years, then every 24 months	Every 12 months (39%)
Motorcycles: first inspection after 3 years, then every 24 months	Every 24 months (32%)
Buses or coaches: first inspection after 1 year, then every 6 months	Every 12 months (61%)
Lorries or trucks: no information	Every 12 months (68%)

Sources: EC website, national sources

Mandatory inspection periods are longer for passenger cars than the most common periods in the EU.

Road Safety Performance Indicators

Speed

Table 13: Number of speed tickets per population in Lithuania versus the EU average

Measure	2006	2015	Average annual change	EU average (2015)
Number of speed tickets/1.000 population	18	50	12,0%	94

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2016

Table 14: Percentage of speed offenders per road type in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Road type	2004	2012	Average annual change	EU average
Motorways	15%	19%	3,0%	n/a
Rural roads	43%	35%*	-5,0%	n/a
Urban roads	n/a	43%	-	n/a

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

*Data from 2008

Table 15: Mean speed per road type in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Road type	2004	2012	Average annual change	EU average
Motorways	106	109	0,3%	n/a
Rural roads	88	87,6 km/h*	-0,1%	n/a
Urban roads	n/a	57,9 km/h**	-	n/a

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

*Data from 2008

**Data from 2006

Alcohol

Table 16: Road side surveys for drink-driving in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Measure	2006	2015	Average annual change	EU average (2015)
Amount of tests/1.000 population	31	48	5,0%	209
% tested over the limit	1,4%	1,8%	2,8%	2,2%

Sources: [1] ETSC, 2010; [2] ETSC, 2015

There is a low amount of speed checks per population in Lithuania, nevertheless, the amount of speed offenders has decreased on rural roads over time.

The amount of road side surveys per population is low in Lithuania and drink-driving has increased between 2006 and 2015.

The majority of cars in Lithuania is older than 10 years.

Vehicles

Table 17: State of the vehicle fleet in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Vehicles	EU average
Cars per age group (2011) [1]:	Passenger cars (2011)
- ≤ 2 years: 1%	≤ 2 years: 9%
- 3 to 5 years: 4%	3 to 5 years: 16%
- 6 to 10 years: 10%	6 to 10 years: 24%
- > 10 years: 86%	>10 years: 43%
EuroNCAP occupant protection score of cars (new cars sold in 2013) [2]:	
- 5 stars: 58,3%	5 stars: 52,5%
- 4 stars: 1,3%	4 stars: 4,5%
- 3 stars: 1,7%	3 stars: 2,9%
- 2 stars: 0,6%	2 stars: 0,5%
- not tested: 38,2%	not tested: 39,6% ³

Source: [1] EUROSTAT, 2015; [2] ETSC, 2016

Protective systems

Table 18: Protective system use in Lithuania versus the average in EU

Protective systems	EU average ⁴
Daytime seat-belt wearing in cars and vans (2014):	(2015)
- 96% front	89,7% front
- 97% driver	not available
- 95% front passenger	not available
- 33% rear	69,5% rear
- no information on % child restraints	not available
Helmet use (2014):	
- no information on % powered two-wheelers riders	not available
- no information on % cyclists	

Source: IRTAD, 2016

Rear seat-belt wearing is low in Lithuania, data for helmet use are not available.

³ Based on data of 25 EU countries (excl. HR, LU and MT).


⁴ Based on data of 15 EU countries; data of AT, BE, IE, IT, LU, HU, FI, SE (2015); data of CZ, DE, DK, HR, LT, PL, UK (2014); data of PT (2013)

Road Safety Outcomes

General positioning


The fatality rate of Lithuania is higher than the EU average (around 91 fatalities per million population in 2014). Lithuania's rate rose from 2001 to 2014 while the EU average dropped in the same period.

Figure 1: Fatalities per million inhabitants in 2014 with EU average



Sources: CARE, Eurostat

Figure 2: Development of fatalities per million inhabitants between 2001 and 2014 for Lithuania and the EU average



Sources: CARE, Eurostat

The fatality rate of Lithuania is higher than the EU average. It rose constantly between 2001 and 2014.

The share of pedestrian fatalities is a much higher than the EU average.

Transport mode

The share of pedestrian fatalities is much higher than the EU average. While the annual reduction of motorcyclist fatalities between 2013 and 2014 was 13%, the fatalities of car occupants increased (+9%) in the same period. The annual rates of pedestrian (+14%) and cyclist fatalities (+6%) rose as well.

Table 19: Reported fatalities by mode of road transport in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Transport mode	2013	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Pedestrians	96	109	14%	41%	22%
Car occupants	108	118	9%	44%	45%
Motorcyclists	15	13	-13%	5%	15%
Mopeds	4	1	-75%	0%	3%
Cyclists	18	19	6%	7%	8%
Bus/coach occupants	1	0	-100%	0%	1%
Lorries or truck occupants	7	6	-14%	2%	5%

Sources: CARE, national sources

Age, gender and nationality

Table 20: Reported fatalities by age, gender and nationality in Lithuania versus the EU average

Age and gender	2013	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Females					
0 - 14 years	4	7	75%	3%	1%
15 - 17 years	1	3	200%	1%	1%
18 - 24 years	6	5	-17%	2%	3%
25 - 49 years	15	14	-7%	5%	6%
50 - 64 years	12	18	50%	7%	4%
65+ years	23	28	22%	11%	9%
Males					
0 - 14 years	3	8	167%	3%	1%
15 - 17 years	3	6	100%	2%	2%
18 - 24 years	35	28	-20%	11%	12%
25 - 49 years	80	83	4%	31%	29%
50 - 64 years	44	45	2%	17%	15%
65+ years	29	21	-28%	8%	16%
Nationality of driver or rider killed					
National	241	259	7%	97%	n/a
Non-national	15	8	-47%	3%	n/a

Sources: CARE, national sources

The share of road fatalities by gender in Lithuania is similar to the EU average.

No information is available on fatalities by location in Lithuania.

Location

No information is available on fatalities by location in Lithuania.

Table 21: Reported fatalities by location in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Location	2013	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Built-up areas	n/a	n/a	-	-	38%
Rural areas	n/a	n/a	-	-	54%
Motorways	n/a	n/a	-	-	7%
Junctions	n/a	n/a	-	-	19%

Sources: CARE, national sources

Lighting and weather conditions

Table 22: Reported fatalities by lighting and weather conditions in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Conditions	2013	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Lightning conditions					
During daylight	118	131	11%	49%	49%
During night-time	117	113	-3%	42%	30%
Weather conditions					
While raining	23	25	9%	9%	9%

Sources CARE, national sources

Single vehicle accidents

Table 23: Reported fatalities by type in Lithuania compared to the EU average

Accident Type	2013	2014	Average annual change	Share in 2014	EU average (2014)
Single vehicle accidents	65	70	8%	26%	28%


Sources: CARE, national sources

Under-reporting of casualties

- Fatalities: 100%, due to improvements of the data recording systems.
- Hospitalised: no studies with quantitative information exist.


Risk Figures

Figure 3: Fatalities by vehicle type in Lithuania in 2014



Sources CARE, IRTAD

Figure 4: Fatalities per million inhabitants in Lithuania in 2014



Sources: CARE, EUROSTAT

In Lithuania risk is high for motorcyclists, youngsters and middle-aged people (25-64 years).

Social Cost

- The total cost of road accident casualties (fatalities and injuries) is estimated at 48,5 billion euros (2014).
- The following costs are an update of the values in Table 5.3 of the HEATCO Deliverable D5 (2006) to base year 2010. Each figure includes the value of safety per se (VSL⁵ for fatality, 13% of VSL for severe, 1% for light injury) and the value of direct and indirect economic costs (10% of VSL for fatality, severe and slight injury based on HEATCO (2005)). EU average based on the VSL of €1.7 million.
- The costs per casualty for 2010 are as follows:

Table 24: Cost (€) per injury type in Lithuania versus the EU average

Country	Fatality	Severe injury	Slight injury
Austria	2.395.000	327.000	25.800
Belgium	2.178.000	330.400	21.300
Bulgaria	984.000	127.900	9.800
Croatia	1.333.000	173.300	13.300
Cyprus	1.234.000	163.100	11.900
Czech Republic	1.446.000	194.300	14.100
Denmark	2.364.000	292.600	22.900
Estonia	1.163.000	155.800	11.200
Finland	2.213.000	294.300	22.000
France	2.070.000	289.200	21.600
Germany	2.220.000	307.100	24.800
Greece	1.518.000	198.400	15.100
Hungary	1.225.000	164.400	11.900
Ireland	2.412.000	305.600	23.300
Italy	1.916.000	246.200	18.800
Latvia	1.034.000	140.000	10.000
Lithuania	1.061.000	144.900	10.500
Luxembourg	3.323.000	517.700	31.200
Malta	2.122.000	269.500	20.100
Netherlands	2.388.000	316.400	25.500
Poland	1.168.000	156.700	11.300
Portugal	1.505.000	201.100	13.800
Romania	1.048.000	136.200	10.400
Slovakia	1.593.000	219.700	15.700
Slovenia	1.989.000	258.300	18.900
Spain	1.913.000	237.800	17.900
Sweden	2.240.000	328.700	23.500
Great Britain	2.170.000	280.300	22.200
EU average	1.870.000	243.100	18.700

Source: Update of the Handbook on External Costs of Transport. Final Report. Report for the European Commission: DG MOVE. Ricardo-AEA/R/ ED57769 Issue Number 1; 8th January 2014

Estimated cost of road accident casualties in Lithuania is one of lowest among the EU countries.

⁵ Value of Statistical Life

Synthesis

Safety position

- Lithuania with 91 fatalities per million population is one of the countries with the highest fatality rates among the European countries.

Scope of problem

- The highest shares of road fatalities in Lithuania are among car occupants and pedestrians. The latter is significantly higher than the EU average.
- Rear seat-belt wearing rates are low in Lithuania.
- Lithuania has one of the highest shares of old cars (> 10 years) in the EU.
- The amount of road side surveys per population for drink-driving is low in Lithuania and the percentage of offences has increased between 2006 and 2015.

Recent progress

- Lithuania's rate rose from 2001 to 2014 while the EU average dropped in the same period.
- The amount of speed offenders on rural roads has decreased over time, despite the low number of speed checks per population.
- Lithuanian new cars are rated with higher EuroNCAP car occupant score than the EU average.

Remarkable road safety policy issues

- The majority of EU-recommended road safety laws is adopted by the country.
- Effectiveness of traffic enforcement effort of most issues is quite similar to the most common in the EU.
- Lithuania uses high risk site treatment and road safety audits to improve infrastructure.
- Lithuania has lower legal drink-driving levels than most other EU countries.

The majority of EU-recommended road safety laws is adopted by the country.

References

1. CARE database (2016).
2. CIA database (2016).
3. DG-TREN (2010). Technical Assistance in support of the Preparation of the European Road Safety Action Program 2011-2020. Final Report. DG-TREN, Brussels.
4. European Commission website (2016).
http://europa.eu/youreurope/citizens/vehicles/registration/formalities/index_en.htm
5. European Commission DG Move website (2016).
http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/index_en.htm
6. ETSC (2009). Boost the market for safer cars across Europe. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 13. ETSC, Brussels.
7. ETSC (2010). Road Safety Target in Sight: Making up for lost time. + Background tables 4th Road Safety PIN report. ETSC, Brussels.
8. ETSC (2014). Ranking EU progress on car occupant safety. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 27. ETSC, Brussels.
9. ETSC (2015). Enforcement in the EU-Vision 2020. + Background tables. ETSC, Brussels.
10. ETSC (2015). Making walking and cycling on Europe's roads safer. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 29. ETSC, Brussels.
11. ETSC (2015). Ranking EU progress on improving motorway safety. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 28. ETSC, Brussels.
12. ETSC (2016). How safe are the new cars sold in the EU? An analysis of the market penetration of Euro NCAP-rated cars. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 30. ETSC, Brussels.
13. ETSC (2016). How traffic law enforcement can contribute to safer roads. + Background tables PIN Flash no. 31. ETSC, Brussels.
14. Eurostat database (2016).
15. European Commission (2014). Handbook on External Costs of Transport. Final Report. Ricardo-AEA/R/ ED57769 Issue Number 1; 8th January 2014.
16. European Commission (2015). Road Safety in the European Union: Trends, statistics and main challenges. European Commission, Mobility and Transport DG, Brussels.
17. National Sources (2016): via national CARE experts and official national sources of statistics.
18. OECD/ITF (2014). Road Safety Annual Report 2014. OECD Publishing, Paris.
19. OECD/ITF (2015). Road Safety Annual Report 2015. OECD Publishing, Paris.
20. OECD/ITF (2015). Road Infrastructure Safety Management. OECD Publishing, Paris.
21. OECD/ITF (2016). Road Safety Annual Report 2016. OECD Publishing, Paris.
22. ROSE25 (2005). Inventory and compiling of a European good practice guide on road safety education targeted at young people. Final report. KfV, Vienna.
23. SUPREME (2007) Final Report Part F1. Thematic Report: Education and Campaigns. European Commission, Brussels.
24. Torfs, K., Meesmann, U., Van den Berghe, W., & Trotta M., (2016). ESRA 2015 – The results. Synthesis of the main findings from the ESRA survey in 17 countries. ESRA project (European Survey of Road users' safety Attitudes). Belgian Road Safety Institute, Brussels.
25. WHO (2013). Global status report on road safety 2013: supporting a decade of action. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
26. WHO (2015) Global status report on road safety 2015. World Health Organisation, Geneva.
27. UNECE database (2016).

Notes

1. Country abbreviations

	Belgium	BE		Italy	IT		Romania	RO
	Bulgaria	BG		Cyprus	CY		Slovenia	SI
	Czech Republic	CZ		Latvia	LV		Slovakia	SK
	Denmark	DK		Lithuania	LT		Finland	FI
	Germany	DE		Luxembourg	LU		Sweden	SE
	Estonia	EE		Hungary	HU		United Kingdom	UK
	Ireland	IE		Malta	MT			
	Greece	EL		Netherlands	NL		Iceland	IS
	Spain	ES		Austria	AT		Liechtenstein	LI
	France	FR		Poland	PL		Norway	NO
	Croatia	HR		Portugal	PT		Switzerland	CH

2. Sources: CARE (Community database on road accidents), EUROSTAT, ITF-IRTAD, National sources.

The full glossary of definitions of variables used in this Report is available at: http://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/pdf/statistics/cadas_glossary.pdf

3. Data available in September 2016.

4. Average annual change is calculated with the power function between the first and last years:

[aac = (b/a)^{1/n}-1, where aac: annual average change, a: first year value, b: last year value, n: number of years].

5. Explanation of symbols in Tables:

n/a: not available

"-": not applicable (e.g. calculation cannot be performed)

6. This 2016 edition of Road Safety Country Overviews updates the previous version produced in 2012 within the EU co-funded research project [DaCoTA](#).

7. Disclaimer

This report has been produced by the National Technical University of Athens ([NTUA](#)), the Austrian Road Safety Board ([KFV](#)) and the European Union Road Federation ([ERF](#)) under a contract with the [European Commission](#). Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the information presented in this report is relevant, accurate and up-to-date, the Partners cannot accept any liability for any error or omission, or reliance on part or all of the content in another context.

Any information and views set out in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this study. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained therein.

8. Please refer to this Report as follows:

European Commission, Road Safety Country Overview - Lithuania, European Commission, Directorate General for Transport, September 2016.

