



European Road Safety Observatory

National Road Safety Profile - Latvia

This document is part of a series of 30 country profiles: one for each member of the EU 27 and three EFTA countries (Iceland, Norway and Switzerland). The purpose of this series is to provide tables and figures that give an overview of the road safety situation in a specific country. The tables and figures are organized according to a pyramid of road safety information: (1) road safety outcomes, (2) road safety performance indicators, (3) road safety programmes and measures, and (4) structure and culture.

Contract: This document has been prepared in the framework of the EC Service Contract MOVE/C2/SER/2019-100/SI2.822066 with Vias institute (BE) and SWOV Institute for Road Safety Research (NL).

Version 1.0, September 13, 2021

Authors: Annelies Schoeters, Nathan De Vos & Freya Sloomans (Vias institute).

Referencing: Reproduction of this document is allowed with due acknowledgement. Please refer to the document as follows: European Commission (2021) National Road Safety Profile Latvia. Brussels, European Commission, Directorate General for Transport.

Disclaimer

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the material presented in this document is relevant, accurate and up-to-date, the (sub)contractors cannot accept any liability for any error or omission, or reliance on part or all of the content in another context.

Any information and views set out in this document are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this study. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission's behalf may be held responsible for the use that may be made of the information contained herein.

1 Highlights

Road safety outcomes

- In 2019 a total of 132 people were killed in reported traffic accidents in Latvia.
- Latvia is 5th out of 27 EU countries in terms of the highest numbers of fatalities per million inhabitants.
- Compared to the EU average, the distribution of fatalities in Latvia shows a relatively high proportion of pedestrians and fatalities that occurred on rural roads. The proportion of powered two-wheelers on the other hand is much smaller than the EU average.
- Over the past ten years the number of fatalities dropped significantly.

Road safety performance indicators

- The quality of road infrastructure in Latvia is perceived as very low compared to other EU countries.
- The vehicle fleet in Latvia is considerably smaller than the EU average.
- Latvian passenger cars are significantly older than the EU average.

Road safety policy and measures

- Enforcement of motorcycle helmet legislation is less widely perceived as effective in comparison to other EU countries.

2 Road Safety Outcomes

2.1 General risk in traffic

In Latvia, a total of 132 people were killed in reported traffic accidents in 2019. In terms of mortality rate, there were 69 road fatalities per million inhabitants, which is well above the EU average (51). In the first decade of this century, the mortality rate in Latvia was much higher than the EU average. From 2007 the mortality rate in Latvia decreased sharply and became closer to the EU average. Also when the number of vehicles is taken into account, Latvia performs worse than most EU countries with a rate of 1.54 fatalities per 10,000 registered vehicles in 2019.

Over the past ten years the number of fatalities in Latvia decreased significantly by almost 40%, which is more than the overall EU trend. Especially between 2014 and 2017 fatalities dropped considerably in Latvia, while they remained stable in the European Union.

Table 1. Number of road fatalities and serious injuries (2010 and 2019). Source: CARE

| Victims | 2010 | 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 | EU 2019 | EU trend |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|---------|---------|----------|
| Fatalities | 218 | 132 | -39% | 29611 | 22700 | -23% |
| Serious injuries | 569 | 461 | -19% | / | / | / |

Figure 1. Number of road fatalities per million inhabitants (2019). Source: CARE & EUROSTAT




Figure 2. Number of road fatalities per 10,000 registered vehicles (2019). Source: CARE & EUROSTAT




Figure 3. Number of road fatalities (2010-2019). Source: CARE






Figure 4. Number of serious injuries (2010-2019). Source: CARE**Figure 5.** Number of road fatalities per million inhabitants (2001-2019). Source: CARE & EUROSTAT

2.2 Transport modes¹

In 2019, pedestrians account for a third of road fatalities in Latvia. This percentage is much higher than that observed in the European Union (21%). Powered two-wheelers on the other hand, represent only 2% of road fatalities, which is much lower than the percentage in the European Union (18%).

Over the past ten years there was a decrease in the number of fatalities in Latvia for all modes. The number of serious injuries on the other hand, increased for cyclists and remained stable for powered two-wheelers. The overall number of fatalities in single vehicle crashes (i.e. only one vehicle and no other road user is involved) in Latvia decreased by 40% which is more than in the European Union.

Of all vulnerable road users (pedestrians, cyclists and powered two-wheelers) in Latvia that were fatally injured, 63% were involved in a crash with a car, and 24% were involved in a crash

¹For more details about the categories used in this subsection, please see section 6.2 Definitions.

with a lorry or heavy goods vehicle. Fatalities in these types of crashes show a downward trend, as in the European Union.

Figure 6. Number of road fatalities by transport mode (2019). Source: CARE




Table 2. Average number of road fatalities by transport mode (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Transport mode | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Pedestrians | 67 | 47 | -30% | 5,793 | 4,767 | -18% |
| Cyclists | 15 | 10 | / | 2,023 | 1,991 | -2% |
| Powered two-wheelers | 14 | 5 | / | 5,058 | 4,132 | -18% |
| Car occupants | 80 | 64 | -20% | 13,309 | 10,445 | -22% |
| Lorries, under 3.5t | 2 | 4 | / | 898 | 780 | -13% |
| Heavy goods vehicles | 2 | 3 | / | 590 | 408 | -31% |
| Bus/coach occupants | 3 | 0 | / | 102 | 98 | -4% |
| Other/unknown | 7 | 6 | / | 1,119 | 691 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |

Table 3. Average number of serious injuries by transport mode (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Transport mode | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Pedestrians | 129 | 114 | -12% |
| Cyclists | 43 | 48 | +12% |
| Powered two-wheelers | 56 | 56 | +0% |
| Car occupants | 254 | 237 | -7% |
| Lorries, under 3.5t | 15 | 13 | / |
| Heavy goods vehicles | 9 | 9 | / |
| Bus/coach occupants | 12 | 7 | / |
| Other/unknown | 12 | 16 | / |
| Total | 531 | 500 | -6% |

Table 4. Average number of fatalities among vulnerable road users (pedestrians, cyclists and mopeds) involved in crashes involving cars, buses or coaches, and lorries or heavy goods vehicles (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Crash type | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Crashes involving buses or coaches | 6 | 2 | / | 258 | 201 | -22% |
| Crashes involving cars | 46 | 39 | -15% | 5,507 | 4,666 | -15% |
| Crashes involving lorries or heavy goods vehicles | 21 | 15 | -29% | 1,721 | 1,333 | -23% |

Table 5. Average number of road fatalities in urban areas by transport mode (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Transport mode | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Pedestrians | 33 | 22 | -33% | 3,944 | 3,303 | -16% |
| Cyclists | 5 | 3 | / | 1,113 | 1,134 | +2% |
| Powered two-wheelers | 7 | 3 | / | 2,200 | 1,595 | -28% |
| Car occupants | 13 | 12 | / | 2,883 | 2,164 | -25% |
| Lorries, under 3.5t | 1 | 1 | / | 149 | 132 | -11% |
| Heavy goods vehicles | 0 | 0 | / | 82 | 31 | -62% |
| Bus/coach occupants | 3 | 0 | / | 24 | 27 | +12% |
| Other/unknown | 1 | 1 | / | 222 | 260 | / |
| Total | 61 | 42 | -31% | 10,730 | 8,837 | -18% |

Table 6. Average number of road fatalities in single vehicle crashes by transport mode (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Transport mode | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Cyclists | 3 | 1 | / | 299 | 381 | +27% |
| Powered two-wheelers | 7 | 1 | / | 1,746 | 1,443 | -17% |
| Car occupants | 36 | 24 | -33% | 5,905 | 4,471 | -24% |
| Lorries, under 3.5t | 1 | 2 | / | 365 | 288 | -21% |
| Heavy goods vehicles | 1 | 1 | / | 241 | 147 | -39% |
| Bus/coach occupants | 3 | 0 | / | 40 | 35 | -12% |
| Other/unknown | 6 | 5 | / | 327 | 341 | / |
| Total | 57 | 34 | -40% | 8,923 | 7,106 | -20% |

2.3 Age

The distribution of road fatalities across age groups in Latvia is different from that for the European Union. People aged 65 and older represent only 16% of road fatalities, which is lower than what is seen in the European Union (28%). On the other hand, the proportion of fatalities aged 25 to 64 is somewhat bigger.

Over the past ten years, the trend in the number of fatalities in Latvia was downward for all age groups. While the number of fatalities for people aged 65 and older increased slightly in the European Union, there was a decrease in Latvia. The number of serious injuries on the other hand, increased for the two oldest age groups.


Figure 7. Number of road fatalities by age group (2019). Source: CARE

Table 7. Average number of road fatalities by age group (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE


| Age | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| <15 | 7 | 5 | / | 744 | 499 | -33% |
| 15 - 17 | 4 | 2 | / | 761 | 493 | -35% |
| 18 - 24 | 24 | 12 | / | 4,399 | 2,755 | -37% |
| 25 - 49 | 71 | 50 | -30% | 10,458 | 7,915 | -24% |
| 50 - 64 | 42 | 36 | -14% | 5,273 | 4,891 | -7% |
| 65+ | 38 | 27 | -29% | 6,392 | 6,559 | +3% |
| Unknown | 7 | 7 | / | 738 | 148 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |

Table 8. Average number of serious injuries by age group (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Age | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| <15 | 43 | 36 | -16% |
| 15 - 17 | 23 | 23 | +0% |
| 18 - 24 | 96 | 61 | -36% |
| 25 - 49 | 211 | 198 | -6% |
| 50 - 64 | 90 | 99 | +10% |
| 65+ | 52 | 61 | +17% |
| Unknown | 16 | 21 | / |
| Total | 531 | 500 | -6% |

2.4 Gender

The high proportion of males among total road fatalities in Latvia (81%) is similar to the EU average. This gender pattern apparent throughout the EU can be explained by differences in relation to frequency of transport use and to behaviour.

Figure 8. Number of road fatalities by gender (2019). Source: CARE**Table 9.** Average number of road fatalities by gender (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE


| Gender | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Female | 27 | 33 | +22% | 6,656 | 5,453 | -18% |
| Male | 119 | 106 | -11% | 21,523 | 17,764 | -17% |
| Unknown | 45 | 0 | / | 1,310 | 42 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |

Table 10. Average number of serious injuries by gender (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Gender | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Female | 98 | 177 | +81% |
| Male | 290 | 322 | +11% |
| Unknown | 144 | 0 | / |
| Total | 531 | 500 | -6% |

2.5 Area

The majority of road fatalities in Latvia occurred on rural roads (70%). This percentage is much higher than in the European Union as a whole. The share of fatalities on urban roads on the other hand is lower than the EU average. There are no motorways in Latvia. Over the past ten years, fatalities show a downward trend on both road types in Latvia, the decrease on urban roads was considerably larger than in the European Union.

Figure 9. Number of road fatalities by road type (2019). Source: CARE**Table 11.** Average number of road fatalities by road type (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Road type | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Motorway | / | / | / | 2,038 | 1,969 | -3% |
| Rural | 130 | 97 | -25% | 15,205 | 12,200 | -20% |
| Urban | 61 | 42 | -31% | 10,730 | 8,837 | -18% |
| Unknown | / | / | / | 770 | 321 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |


Table 12. Average number of serious injuries by road type (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Road type | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Motorway | / | / | / |
| Rural | 313 | 278 | -11% |
| Urban | 218 | 221 | +1% |
| Unknown | / | / | / |
| Total | 531 | 500 | -6% |

2.6 Time²

The distribution of fatalities by day of the week and time of the day is very similar to that for the European Union, with the majority of fatalities occurring in the daytime during the working week.


²For more details about the time periods used in this subsection, please see section 6.2 Definitions.

Figure 10. Number of road fatalities by period of time (2019). Source: CARE**Table 13.** Average number of road fatalities by period of time (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE


| Period of time | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Working week - daytime | 108 | 79 | -27% | 15,404 | 13,265 | -14% |
| Working week - night-time | 14 | 12 | / | 2,566 | 1,980 | -23% |
| Weekend - daytime | 43 | 29 | -33% | 6,353 | 5,383 | -15% |
| Weekend - night-time | 20 | 17 | -15% | 3,540 | 2,593 | -27% |
| Unknown | 8 | 2 | / | 4,071 | 662 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |

2.7 Road conditions

In 2019, about half of the road fatalities in Latvia occurred on dry roads, which is less compared to the EU average. Wet roads on the other hand account for a significantly larger share of road fatalities (32%) than in the European Union as a whole. Regarding light conditions, Latvia has a significantly larger share of fatalities that occur when it is dark in comparison with the European Union.

Figure 11. Number of road fatalities by surface conditions (2019). Source: CARE**Table 14.** Average number of road fatalities by surface conditions (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Surface conditions | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Dry | 101 | 70 | -31% | 21,091 | 17,711 | -16% |
| Snow, frost, ice, slush | 16 | 7 | / | 988 | 442 | -55% |
| Wet, damp | 59 | 50 | -15% | 5,636 | 4,663 | -17% |
| Other/unknown | 35 | 12 | / | 2,458 | 446 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |

Figure 12. Number of road fatalities by light conditions (2019). Source: CARE**Table 15.** Average number of road fatalities by light conditions (2010-2012 and 2017-2019). Source: CARE

| Light conditions | 2010 - 2012 | 2017 - 2019 | Trend | EU 2010 - 2012 | EU 2017 - 2019 | EU trend |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Darkness | 83 | 63 | -24% | 8,918 | 6,782 | -24% |
| Daylight | 100 | 69 | -31% | 13,706 | 11,932 | -13% |
| Twilight | 8 | 7 | / | 1,498 | 1,228 | -18% |
| Unknown | 0 | / | / | 5,301 | 3,908 | / |
| Total | 191 | 139 | -27% | 28,291 | 23,133 | -18% |

3 Road safety performance indicators

3.1 Behaviour of road users

For Latvia there is no data available yet about behaviour in traffic that is comparable with other EU countries. In 2021, Latvia has joined the project ‘Collection of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for road safety’ in which KPIs for road safety will be determined.

3.2 Infrastructure

The overall road network in Latvia shows similar road density in comparison with the EU average. The indicator for the quality of road infrastructure is based on the judgements made by road users themselves. For Latvia, a score of 3 (on a value scale from 1 to 7) is given, which is one of the lowest scores.


3.2.1 Road density

Table 16. Road density. Source: EUROSTAT (2019)

| | Latvia | European Union |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Inside built-up areas | 139 km road/1000 km ² | 150 km road/1000 km ² |
| Outside built-up areas | 763 km road/1000 km ² | 609 km road/1000 km ² |
| Total | 902 km road/1000 km ² | 942 km road/1000 km ² |

3.2.2 Road quality

Figure 13. Perceived quality of the road infrastructure (1 = extremely poor, 7 = among the best in the world). Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey (2017-2018)



3.3 Vehicle fleet

The size of the vehicle fleet in Latvia, expressed per 100 inhabitants, is considerably smaller than the EU average. Regarding the age of the vehicles, passenger cars appear to be significantly older than the EU average, with over 80% passenger cars over 10 years.

Table 17. Number of registered vehicles per 100 inhabitants. Source: EUROSTAT (2019)

| | Latvia | European Union |
|---|--------|----------------|
| All vehicles (except trailers and motorcycles) | 43 | 63 |
| Total utility vehicles | 5 | 9 |
| Lorries | 4 | 7 |
| Road tractors | 1 | 1 |
| Trailers and semi-trailers | 4 | 4 |
| Motorcycles | 1 | 6 |
| Passenger cars | 38 | 54 |
| Motor coaches, buses and trolley buses | 0 | 0 |
| Special vehicles | 0 | 1 |

Table 18. Age of registered passenger cars. Source: EUROSTAT (2019)

| | Latvia | European Union |
|---|--------|----------------|
| Percentage of total number of passenger cars | | |
| Less than 2 years | 4% | 12% |
| From 2 to 5 years | 6% | 15% |
| From 5 to 10 years | 12% | 21% |
| From 10 to 20 years | 57% | 42% |
| Over 20 years | 21% | 11% |

4 Road safety policy and measures

4.1 Legislation³

National road safety legislation in Latvia reflects the situation in the majority of EU countries.

Table 19. National road safety legislation. Source: WHO (2018)

| | Latvia | EU countries |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| Speed limits for passenger cars | | |
| Urban roads | 50 km/h | 50 km/h: 26; 65 km/h: 1 |
| Rural roads | 90 km/h | 110 km/h: 2; 100 km/h: 3; 90 km/h: 17; 80 km/h: 4 |
| Motorways | / | No limit ¹ ; 140 km/h: 2; 130 km/h: 14; 120 km/h: 6; 100 km/h: 1 |
| Allowed BAC (blood alcohol concentration) levels | | |
| General population | 0.5 g/l | 0 g/l: 2; 0.2 g/l: 3; 0.3 g/l: 1; 0.4 g/l: 1; 0.5 g/l: 19; 0.8 g/l: 1 |
| Novice drivers | 0.2 g/l | 0 g/l: 7; 0.1 g/l: 1; 0.2 g/l: 12; 0.3 g/l: 2; 0.5 g/l: 4; 0.8 g/l: 1 |
| Professional drivers | 0.5 g/l | 0 g/l: 6; 0.1 g/l: 1; 0.2 g/l: 10; 0.3 g/l: 2; 0.5 g/l: 7; 0.8 g/l: 1 |
| Seatbelt requirement | | |
| Drivers | Yes | Yes: 27; No: 0 |
| Front passengers | Yes | Yes: 27; No: 0 |
| Rear passengers | Yes | Yes: 27; No: 0 |
| Transport of children | | |
| Child restraint required | Up to 150 cm | Up to 150 cm: 13; Up to 135 cm: 3; Up to 10 yrs: 1 |
| Children in front seat of passenger cars | Allowed in a child restraint | Prohibited under 10 yrs: 1; Prohibited under 12 yrs or 135 cm: 1; Prohibited under 150 cm: 1; Prohibited under 135 cm: 1; Allowed in a child restraint: 22; Not restricted: 1 |
| Children passengers on motorcycles | Prohibited under 150 cm | Not restricted: 9; Prohibited under certain age/height: 18 |
| Motorcycle helmets | | |
| Applies to driver | Yes | Yes: 27; No: 0 |
| Applies to passengers | Yes | Yes: 27; No: 0 |
| Applies to all roads | Yes | Yes: 27; No: 0 |
| Applies to all engines | Yes | Yes: 25; No: 2 |
| Helmet fastening required | Yes | Yes: 18; No: 9 |
| Standard referred to and / or specified | No | Yes: 19; No: 8 |
| Mobile phone restriction | | |
| Applies to hand-held phone use | Yes | Yes: 26; No: 1 |
| Applies to hands-free phone use | No | Yes: 0; No: 27 |

4.2 Enforcement

According to an international respondent consensus, in which the effectiveness of road safety enforcement is measured on a ten-point scale, Latvia scores below the EU average for motorcycle helmet legislation.

³The speed limit on rural roads varies from the norm during the summer. It is set to 100 km/h on some roads and 110 km/h on others.

Table 20. Effectiveness of enforcement according to an international respondent consensus (scale = 0-10). Source: WHO (2018)

| | Latvia | European average |
|---|--------|------------------|
| Speed legislation | 7 | 6.8 |
| Drink-driving legislation | 7 | 7 |
| Seatbelt legislation | 7 | 7 |
| Child restraint system legislation | 7 | 7 |
| Motorcycle helmet legislation | 7 | 8 |

4.3 Road infrastructure

Table 21. Infrastructure-related policy. Source: WHO (2018)

| | Latvia | EU countries |
|---|--------|------------------------------|
| Audits or star rating required for new road infrastructure | Yes | Yes: 10 Partial: 17 |
| Inspections / star rating of existing roads | Yes | Yes: 26 No: 1 |
| Design standards for the safety of pedestrians / cyclists | Yes | Yes: 25 Partial: 2 No: 0 |
| Investments to upgrade high risk locations | Yes | Yes: 20 No: 7 |
| Policies & investment in urban public transport | No | Yes: 23 No: 4 |
| Policies promoting walking and cycling | No | Yes: 21 Subnational: 3 No: 3 |

4.4 Post-crash care

Table 22. Policy related to post-crash care. Source: WHO (2018)

| | Latvia | EU countries |
|--|----------|---|
| Trauma registry | National | National: 13 Subnational: 4 Some facilities: 0 None: 7 |
| National assessment of emergency care system | Yes | Yes: 9 No: 18 |
| Provider training and certification - Prehospital providers - Formal certification pathway | Yes | Yes: 19 No: 6 |
| Provider training and certification - Nurses - Post graduate courses in emergency and trauma care | Yes | Yes: 21 No: 5 |
| Provider training and certification - Specialist doctors - Emergency medicine | Yes | Yes: 21 Subnational: 0 |

5 Structure and culture

5.1 Country characteristics

Population density in Latvia is much lower than the EU average, and its population is mainly settled in cities and rural areas. Its GDP per capita is below that of the European Union, but the percentage of GDP that is dedicated to road spending is higher than the EU average (1.3%).

Table 23. Country characteristics. Source: EUROSTAT and IRTAD

| | European Union | Latvia |
|---|----------------|---------|
| Population-related data (2020) | | |
| Population (2020) | 447319916 | 1907675 |
| Population density (inhabitants/km ²) | 106 | 30 |
| % Children (0-14) | 15% | 16% |
| % Adults (15-64) | 64% | 64% |
| % Elderly (65+) | 21% | 20% |
| Urbanization (2019) | | |
| % living in cities | 38% | 44% |
| % living in suburbs and towns | 34% | 19% |
| % living in rural areas | 28% | 37% |
| Economic data | | |
| GDP per capita (EUR, 2020) | 29768.3 | 15376.8 |
| Unemployment rate (2020) | 7% | 8% |
| % GDP dedicated to road spending (2019) | 0.6% | 1.3% |

5.2 Structure of road safety management

Table 24. Road safety management structure. Source: National sources

| Key functions | Key actors |
|---|--|
| Formulation of national road safety strategy | Ministry of Transport |
| Monitoring of the road safety development | Road Traffic Safety Council (The Council approved by the Government consists of governmental/state administration and municipal administration bodies and representatives of NGOs) |
| Improvements in road infrastructure | The Ministry of Transport: responsible for state roads |
| | State Ltd. Latvian State Road (LVC) |
| | Road Traffic Safety Directorate (CSDD): responsible for road audits |
| | Local road authorities: responsible for county roads and local roads |
| Improvement in vehicles | CSDD |
| Improvement in road user education | CSDD |
| Publicity campaigns | Ministry of Education and Science |
| | CSDD |
| | LVC, State Police |
| Enforcement of traffic laws | Road Safety Safety Council |
| | State Police |
| Other relevant actors | Research activities: Riga Technical University |
| | Riga City Council Traffic Department |
| | NGOs (bicycle driver association, motoclub association e.t.c.) |

6 Notes

6.1 Data sources

CARE

(Community database on Accidents on the Roads in Europe) All information in part 1 of this document (road safety outcomes) is based on data in the CARE database. The European average is based on the average of the 27 EU countries. Date of extraction: 26th of March, 2021. There may be small discrepancies between the CARE data presented in the report and the accident data published in national reports.

ESRA (E-Survey of Road Users' Attitudes)

The European average is the average of 20 European countries (Austria, Belgium, Czechia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom) <https://www.esranet.eu/en/>

ETSC (European Transport Safety Council)

Car safety data was retrieved from <https://etsc.eu/wp-content/uploads/PIN-Flash-30-Final.pdf>
Data about speeding was retrieved from <https://www.etsc.eu/pinflash36>

IRTAD (International Traffic Safety Data and Analysis Group)

Data is retrieved from the OECD database: <https://stats.oecd.org/> Date of extraction: 7th of August 2020

WHO (World Health Organization)

The data are retrieved from the WHO Global Status Report on Road Safety that was published in 2018. The European average is based on the average of the 27 EU countries. https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/road_safety_status/2018/en/

World Economic Forum

Data is retrieved from http://reports.weforum.org/pdf/gci-2017-2018-scorecard/WEF_GCI_2017_2018_Scorecard_EOSQ057.pdf

6.2 Definitions

Accident / Crash

Any accident involving at least one road vehicle in motion on a public road or private road to which the public has right of access, resulting in at least one injured or killed person (Source: UNECE/ITF/Eurostat Glossary). Note: the definition of "injury" varies considerably among EU countries thus affecting the reliability of cross country comparisons.

Bicycle

Vehicle with at least 2 wheels, without engine. In some cases it can also use electric power.

Bus or Coach

Bus: passenger-carrying vehicle, most commonly used for public transport, having more than 16 seats for passengers. Coach: passenger-carrying vehicle, having more than 16 seats for

passengers. Most commonly used for interurban movements and tourist trips. To differentiate from other types of bus, a coach has a luggage hold separate from the passenger cabin.

CARE EU Average and aggregated numbers

In the second section “Road safety outcomes”, we provide EU averages and aggregated figures based on the most recent figures available (2019). However, as some countries have not yet provided their official data for that year, we have produced the EU averages and aggregated data by imputing figures based on data from previous years. The aggregated EU averages and figures in this report may therefore differ slightly from the aggregated averages and figures for 2019 that will be published in the future.

Fatal crash

Crash with at least one person killed regardless the injury severity of any other persons involved.

Fatalities

Total number of persons fatally injured within 30 days of the road crash; correction factors applied when needed. Confirmed suicide and natural death are not included.

Lorry, under 3.5 tonnes

Goods vehicle under 3.5t maximum gross weight. Smaller motor vehicle used only for the transport of goods.

Pedestrian

Person on foot. Included are occupants or persons pushing or pulling a child’s carriage, an invalid chair, or any other small vehicle without an engine. Also included are persons pushing a cycle, moped, roller-skating, skateboarding, skiing or using similar devices. Does not include persons in the act of boarding or alighting from a vehicle. (Source: UNECE/ITF/Eurostat Glossary and CADAS Glossary) Unilateral pedestrian crashes (e.g. pedestrian falls) are excluded.

Powered two-wheelers

Driver or passenger of either a moped (two or three wheeled vehicle equipped with engine size of maximum 50cc and maximum speed that does not exceed 45 km/h. A moped can also have an electric motor. Speed pedelecs and electric powered bicycles that offer pedal assistance up to 45 km/h, also belong to this category of vehicles.) or a motorcycle (motor vehicle with two or three wheels, with an engine size of more than 50 cc. A motorcycle can also have an electric motor.).

Seriously injured (at least 30 days)

The CARE database includes the number of persons seriously injured who have been hospitalised for at least 24 hours. An alternative source is MAIS (Maximum Abbreviated Injury Scale) which is a globally accepted trauma scale used by medical professionals. The injury score is determined at the hospital with the help of a detailed classification key. The score ranges from 1 to 6, with levels 3 to 6 considered as serious injuries.

Working week – Daytime

Monday to Friday 6.00 a.m. to 9.59 p.m.

Working week – Night-time

Monday 10 p.m. to Tuesday 5.59 a.m. Tuesday 10 p.m. to Wednesday 5.59 a.m. Wednesday 10 p.m. to Thursday 5.59 a.m. Thursday 10 p.m. to Friday 5.59 a.m.

Weekend - Daytime

Saturday to Sunday 6.00 a.m. to 9.59 p.m.

Weekend - Night-time

Friday 10 p.m. to Saturday 5.59 a.m. Saturday 10 p.m. to Sunday 5.59 a.m. Sunday 10 p.m. to Monday 5.59 a.m.